**STAT** 



Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/08/16 : CIA-RDP90G00993R000400080010-4

# ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

NOTE TO: Edward J. Maloney

Ed, I have provided both you and Frank with a draft concept where the goal of separation was to make both Agencies self-sufficient. I have attached some discussion points and a draft concept which I believe represents a win-win way out of this mess. I have tried to be objective, but it is not a popular position these days. Anyhow, what follows is the "other" alternative which, I think recognizes political reality higher than both Agencies' desires. Be interested in your thoughts.

reality higher than both Agencies' desires. Be interested in your thoughts.

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/08/16: CIA-RDP90G00993R000400080010-4



# ADMINISTRATIVE THERNAL USE ONLY

### SAFE DISCUSSION POINTS

- o Current SAFE Architecture cannot support required availability and performance. Reasons: AIM, INQUIRE, Complexity
- o Current SAFE Architecture is on trailing edge of technology
- o A Current SAFE Architecture mandates sole source support for:

- LOGICON -- 98% Of All SAFE Applications Code

- INFODATA -- INQUIRE with endless stream of perfomance enhancements

- NSEG -- AIM with endless stream of performance enhancements

Current SAFE Architecture mandates long term relationship with DIA AIM Main Driver, but a bug here is a bug there and/or vice versa

o As more analysts are exposed to SAFE, SAFE becomes a necessary tool

STAT

- analysts at both Agencies. Demand o SAFE Delivery 3+ will serve will be high for connectivity, performance, and availability. OIR FACT
- o Yet, see first bullet
- o Both Agencies, therefore, will have to pour funds into improving connectivity, performance, and availability. These improvements will come at the expense of functionality, but will never achieve acceptable levels. These improvements for the most part are not site-unique.

o Therefore, movement toward a new SAFE architecture will commence at both Agencies. This will be very expensive.

o Oversight groups will once again insist on joint venture. This time their case will be stronger as SAFE will already be in (3-4)

locations with ever 90% common code.

Total separation really makes no sense and, in fact, will CONCLUSION: most likely (be (prevented (by) (oversight) groups.

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

### ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

#### **PROPOSAL**

- o Recognize that Delivery 3+ is joint baseline and that both Agencies will always be customers of this system as long as requirements exist.
- o End cost-sharing for Delivery 4.0 ASAP.
- o Rename and return Delivery 4.0 to DIA. Develop ICD between SAFE and IDB (Delivery 4.0)
- o Establish funding profiles and cost accounting systems which will allow each Agency chance to either jointly or singly fund enhancements depending on need.
- o Establish CM plan and management approach to allow Agency-unique or joint development ventures.
- o Begin serious look at a new SAFE architecture.
- o Move CSPO out of CIA Building into "neutral" space requiring only one set of Agency clearances.
- o Rotate CSPO Directors every two years between Agencies or move permanently to IC Staff.

STAT